Physics of neutrino experiment DUNE

Viktor Pěč

FZU, Department of experimental particle physics viktor.pec@fzu.cz

FZU Elementary particle physics division seminar 25/11/2021



Content

- Briefly on neutrino oscillations
- DUNE
- ProtoDUNE
- Proton decay
- Calibrations



Neutrinos a

- Neutrinos second mos
- Carry three flavours
- Flavour oscillations observed
- Must have **non-zero mass** Oscillations only sensitive to $\begin{bmatrix} d' \\ s \\ b' \end{bmatrix} n^{\frac{2}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{bmatrix}$



Image credit: MPKI

Flavour states Neutrino mixing (PMNS) Mass states $\begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{bmatrix}$ ~ Amplitude of transition



Oscillation parameters

- $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.0856 \pm 0.0029 (v_{reactor} Daya Bay)$
- $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.307^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$ (v_{solar} -SK, SNO, $v_{reactor}$ -KamLAND)
- $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.546 \pm 0.021$ ($\nu_{accel.}$ -T2K,MINOS,NOvA, $\nu_{atmo.}$ -IceCube, SK)
- $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 (v_{\text{reactor}} \text{KamLAND})$
- $\Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.453 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (NO assumed) ($\nu_{\text{accel.}}$ -T2K,MINOS,NOvA, $\nu_{\text{atmo.}}$ -IceCube, SK, ν_{reactor} -Daya Bay, RENO)

[PDG]

Unknown:

- Mass ordering $(m_3 > m_1$ 'normal', $m_3 < m_1$ 'inverted')
- CP violation ($\delta \neq 0 || \pi$)

DUNE will address both!

4 of 24 25/11/2021 Viktor Pěč I DUNE Physics



Quark m

DUNE Physics Programme

- Determination of CP violation in neutrino oscillation
- Determination of neutrino mass ordering
- Precise measurement of mixing parameters
- Detection of neutrinos from core-collapse supernovae
- Proton decay and other BSM studies
- Detection of solar neutrinos

Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment – DUNE



- 3 major components: beam, near detector, far detector
- Fermilab (Chicago, IL) to former Homestake gold mines (Lead, SD)
- International collaboration
- Czech member institutions:
 - FZU
 - Czech Technical University
 - Charles University







Near Detector

- Cross section measurement
- Flux monitoring
- Constrains on systematics in relative Near – Far Detector measurement
- Three systems
 - LArTPC primary neutrino target
 - GArTPC high pressure gas; muon tracker
 - On-axis monitor inner tracker, ECAL, SC-magnet





Far Detector

- Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF)
- 1.5 km underground
- 4 detectors in 2 main caverns
- Instrumented in stages
- Modules 1, 2 and 3 LArTPC
- Module 4 module of opportunity

Cryostat module





10 of 24 25/11/2021 Viktor Pěč I DUNE Physics

‡ Fermilab

Photon Detection System

- Will provide time information for events
 - Need reference time to know drift distance
 - Crucial for non-beam events
- Calorimetry
 - Aid TPC energy reconstruction
 - Important for low-energy physics (supernova ν)
- Decided to use X-ARAPUCA
- **FZU** has been involved:
 - Installation and commissioning of system in ProtoDUNE
 - Initial tests of SiPM sensors → selection of candidates to be tested in ProtoDUNE
 - Will prepare for mass testing for QA/QC for DUNE Far Detector Module 1





ProtoDUNE at CERN

- Design validation and demonstration at full scale same drift distance and E-field
- Two prototypes (~1 kt LAr)
 - 'Single Phase', horizontal drift
 - All liquid argon
 - Operational 2018–2020
 - In test beam p, π , μ , e, K
 - Cosmics
 - Components being upgraded → 'Phase II' (start 2022)
 - 'Dual Phase', vertical drift
 - Liquid argon with gaseous layer on top
 - Drift charge multiplied in gas phase \rightarrow amazing signal/noise
 - Proved to be difficult at large scale
 - Operational 2019-2020, cosmics
 - Evolved into 'Single-phase', vertical drift







12 of 225/11/2021 Viktor Pěč I DUNE Physics



- Cathode in the middle
- 3 anode assemblies on each side

Inside view of one drift volume

Not filled with LAr



ProtoDUNE Single Phase

- Successful beam particle identification and reconstruction
 - Cross section measurements under way (π , p, K_ with Ar)
- Measured distortion of electric field
 - Due to accumulated space charge _
- **Stable operation** •

14 of 24

- > 99.5% HV uptime
- > 99% channels active
- **Photon detectors tested** \rightarrow final-design • considerations

25/11/2021

Energy loss vs residual range for stopping particles → Particle identification



Proton decay

- Potential of DUNE for baryon-number violating decays:
 - $p \ \rightarrow K^+ \, \bar{\nu} \; , \; n \rightarrow K^+ e^- , \, p \ \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$
 - More to be investigated
- Super-Kamiokande biggest player in the game
 - Large water Cherenkov detector
- LArTPC has better particle identification and topology reconstruction → potentially better efficiency in selecting decay signals and background discrimination
- Most promising: $p \to K^+ \bar{\nu}$



$p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu}$

- $KE_K = 105 \text{ MeV}$ (~28 cm in LAr; if *p* at-rest)
- $K^+
 ightarrow \mu^+
 u_\mu$ (64%)
 - $KE_{\mu} = 153 \text{ MeV} (\sim 52 \text{ cm in LAr})$
- **DUNE will see kaons** (unlike in water Cherenkov detector) and mono-energetic μ
- Major background from **atmospheric** v_{μ} **CC**
 - Similar topology (if μ energy is right)
 - Possible misidentification of proton as K
 - Can discriminate on direction
 - Difficult to distinguish when short tracks
- Caveat: in DUNE *p* decays inside Ar nucleus

Reconstructed MC events





Effects of Nucleus

- Energy of outgoing *K* affected by
 - p Fermi motion
 - interactions within nucleus (Final State Interactions/FSI)
 - Significant fraction of *K* leave Ar with < 50 MeV
- Current reconstructions have troubles finding short tracks (~4 cm or ~40 MeV)
- Improvements in reconstruction foreseen (visual scanning suggest improvement in tracking efficiency 58% → 80% possible)





Sensitivity to $p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu}$

- Multi-variate analysis chosen (Boosted Decision Tree) for event classification
- Background suppression to 0.4 events per 400 kt-year (or 10 years with all 4 modules)
- Current reconstruction → 15% signal efficiency
- With improved reco. \rightarrow 30%
- → proton lifetime limit 1.3×10³⁴ years (90% CL) if no signal observed
- FSI model not known well
 - Some constraints from pion data
 - Variations lead to about 2% uncertainty in signal efficiency





Detector calibration

- Need to determine several detector parameters
 - Drifting electron lifetime (attachment to impurities)
 - Electron-ion recombination
 - Electric field / electron drift velocity
 - Electron diffusion
 - Electronics gain
 - Etc.
- · Measure detector response to 'standard candles'
- Cosmic ray muons useful source
- · Beam neutrino events can be also used
- Some dedicated hardware laser, neutron source, purity monitors





Cosmic ray muons underground

- Not too many at Far Detector (1.5 km underground)
 - ~4700 muons in single module per day
 - Only ~90 stop inside
 - (stopping muons are great for energy calibration)
- Mostly vertical tracks









ast direction

250 300 3

φ_{east}

Reco drift coordinate [cm]

Electron lifetime measurement

- Electrons attenuated along drift due to impurities
- Collected charge dependent on drift distance
- Use cosmic ray muons to measure it
 - Broad E spectrum \rightarrow varying ionisation loss
 - Reconstruction of charge sensitive to track orientation → response will differ for individual muons
- Enough tacks → variations average out → uniform energy depositions along drift coordinate → can measure effects on drifted charge



Electron lifetime measurement

- Simulated about 35 days of cosmic ray muons
- Assumed average depositions and charge reco. uniform along drift direction
- dQ/dx collected charge on each wire / length of corresponding track segment
- Found ~1% uncertainty in corrected dQ/dx achievable with 1-day data purely statistical
- Systematic effects are being evaluated



22 of 24 25/11/2021 Viktor Pěč I DUNE Physics

Conclusion

DUNE programme

- Neutrino oscillations
- Supernova and solar neutrino
- BSM searches proton decay discussed

Status & Schedule

- ProtoDUNE single phase successfully ran in test beam @ CERN
 - Valuable input for DUNE design and demonstration of similar-scale LArTPC
- ProtoDUNE phase II test beam planned in 2022-2023
- Near and Far site construction/preparation is underway
- First DUNE far detector installation in mid-2020s
- Neutrino beam expected late 2020s



More details on DUNE physics

Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE), Far Detector Technical Design Report, Volume II: DUNE Physics, arXiv:2002.03005 [hep-ex]

- Physics papers
 - Long-baseline neutrino oscillation physics potential of the DUNE experiment, Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 10, 978
 - Low exposure long-baseline neutrino oscillation sensitivity of the DUNE experiment, arXiv:2109.01304 [hep-ex]
 - Prospects for beyond the Standard Model physics searches at the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment, Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 4, 322
 - *Supernova neutrino burst detection with the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment*, Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 5, 423

